

Received and proved

SEP 24 2018

FCC Maliroom

September 17, 2018

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, District of Columbia 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WC Docket No. 17-84; Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WT Docket No. 17-79

Dear Secretary Dortch:

On behalf of the City of Gahanna, I write to express our concerns with the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) proposed Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order regarding state and local governance of small cell wireless infrastructure deployment. The City of Gahanna is a suburb of Columbus, which is located in central Ohio, and is comprised of more than 35,000 residents and has a municipal boundary that encompasses more than 12 square miles.

Our Community understands the tremendous benefits that new technologies can bring to our community and appreciate the Commission's efforts to engage with local governments on this important issue. Ensuring the growth of cutting-edge broadband services for all Americans is a worthy endeavor, yet it should not come at the expense of local government authority. We remain deeply concerned about several provisions of this proposal. Local governments have a vital responsibility to protect the health, safety, and welfare of residents. The preemption measures compromise that traditional authority and expose wireless infrastructure providers to unnecessary liability.

- The FCC's proposed new collocation shot clock category is too extreme

 The proposal designates any preexisting structure, regardless of its design or suitability for attaching wireless equipment, as eligible for this new expedited 60-day shot clock. When paired with the FCC's previous decision exempting small wireless facilities from federal historic and environmental review, this places an unreasonable burden on local governments to prevent historic preservation, environmental, or safety harms to the community. The addition of up to three cubic feet of antenna and 28 cubic feet of additional equipment to a structure not originally designed to carry that equipment is substantial and may necessitate more review than the FCC has allowed in its proposal.
- The FCC's proposed definition of "effective prohibition" is overly broad.

 The draft report and order proposes a definition of "effective prohibition" that invites challenges to long-standing local rights of way requirements unless they meet a subjective and unclear set of guidelines. While the Commission may have intended to preserve local review, this framing and definition of effective prohibition opens local governments to the likelihood of more, not less, conflict and litigation over requirements for aesthetics, spacing, and undergrounding.

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE 0

All and the first substitutions of the property of the Marian.

SEP 24 2018

The FCC's proposed recurring fee structure is an unreasonable overreach that will harm local policy innovation.

We disagree with the FCC's interpretation of "fair and reasonable compensation" as meaning approximately \$270 per small cell site. Local governments share the federal government's goal of ensuring affordable broadband access for every American, regardless of their income level or address. That is why many cities have worked to negotiate fair deals with wireless providers, which may exceed that number or provide additional benefits to the community. Additionally, the Commission has moved away from rate regulation in recent years. Why does it see fit to so narrowly dictate the rates charged by municipalities? This would be an unreasonable restriction on local government's ability to effectively serve their citizens with an appropriate review. It also unfairly shifts the cost burden of the review from the private sector to local governments.

The combined effect of the proposed limits on review timeframes and fees, and unclear definition of effective prohibition is to incentivize the proliferation of small cell wireless facilities in public rights-of-way by telecom providers outside of a planned and coordinated process, and without consideration of public health, safety, and welfare.

Our city was one of many municipalities that worked in a collaborative fashion with telecom companies to address municipalities' concerns with the small cell facility language enacted in a separate piece of legislation. After months of negotiating, the interested parties reached a consensus resolution that addressed the telecommunication industry's real concerns of ensuring greater predictability in deploying new technology throughout Ohio, while respecting the character of localities and protecting local infrastructure investment. The outcome of that compromise was House Bill 478, which was signed into law earlier this year by Governor Kasich. If this rule were to take effect, the hard work and equitable compromise accomplished through the bill will be undone. Therefore, we oppose this effort to restrict local authority and urge you to oppose this declaratory ruling and report and order.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on how small cell infrastructure will affect our community. We look forward to continuing our efforts of advancing the deployment of new and emerging communications technologies in the best possible manner.

Sincerely,

Thomas R. Kneeland

Mayor

cc: Kevin Bacon

Joyce Beatty Sherrod Brown Anne Gonzales Rob Portman